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Honorable Chair Barve, Vice Chair Stein, and members of the committee, thank you for the opportunity to submit this statement for the record in support of H.B. 13412. We are researchers at the Johns Hopkins Center for a Livable Future, an interdisciplinary academic center focused on food systems and public health. The Center is in the Bloomberg School of Public Health’s Department of Environmental Health and Engineering. We have been researching Industrial Food Animal Production since our Center’s founding in 1996. Recognizing the negative public health implications that results from industrial food animal production, we support H.B. 1312.

Confined Animal Feeding Operations (CAFOs) are harmful to human and environmental health. Due to the negative impacts associated with CAFOs, the Center for Disease Control determined that these operations pose risks to public health and the environment.\(^1\) The American Public Health Association also released a policy statement calling for a precautionary moratorium on new and expanding CAFOs based on these operations’ negative public health impacts.\(^2\)

CAFOs contribute to negative human health outcomes via environmental degradation. Raising animals in large, high-density operations leads to the routine accumulation of large volumes of animal waste, often at rates far exceeding the capacity of nearby farmland to absorb it. The excess waste produced is often disposed of in a manner that can pollute surface and groundwater resources, posing public health and ecological hazards. CAFO-generated manure has constituents and byproducts of health concern, including antibiotics, pathogens, bacteria, 

nitrogen, and phosphorus. Manure from these operations can contaminate ground and surface waters with nitrates, drug residues, and other hazards, and studies have demonstrated that humans can be exposed to waterborne contaminants from poultry operations through the recreational use of contaminated surface water and the ingestion of contaminated drinking water. This is of particular concern for the 34.2 million Americans, approximately 11% of the population, who rely on private wells for drinking water and household use, as private wells are not monitored by government agencies to ensure safe levels of pathogens. Furthermore, land application of manure in excess of the land’s absorptive capacity can lead to excess nitrogen and phosphorus in soil, water resource pollution, eutrophication of surface waters, and algae overgrowth, including some algae producing human toxins. Exposure to elevated levels of nitrates in drinking water is associated with adverse health effects such as cancer, birth defects and other reproductive problems, thyroid problems, and methemoglobinemia (blue baby syndrome). In addition, exposure to algal toxins has been linked to adverse health effects including gastrointestinal illness, liver inflammation and failure, severe dermatitis, respiratory paralysis, cardiac arrhythmia, and tumor promotion.

CAFOs pose additional risks to workers and surrounding communities

CAFOs pose a particular risk for workers. One Pennsylvania study showed that living in close proximity to poultry operations may increase the risk of community-acquired pneumonia. In addition, CAFO workers can be exposed to airborne waste particles, drug residues, heavy metals, and potentially harmful pathogens, many of which can be transferred into neighboring communities by these workers. People living near CAFOs may also have an increased risk of
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infection owing to the transmission of harmful microbes from CAFOs via flies or contaminated water and air.\textsuperscript{16}

**CAFOs perpetuate environmental injustice**

Research has also revealed that CAFOs have disproportionate negative health impacts for low-income, disadvantaged, and economically distressed communities, as well as communities of color.\textsuperscript{17, 18, 19} The establishment of CAFOs in a community is frequently associated with declines in local economic and social indicators (e.g., business purchases, infrastructure, property values, population, social cohesion), which undermine the socioeconomic and social foundations of community health.\textsuperscript{20} Moreover, the negative health and environmental impacts associated with CAFOs can become concentrated in these communities due to their limited economic and political resources to address problems.

**CAFOs contribute to antibiotic resistance**

Administering antibiotics to animals at levels too low to treat disease fosters the proliferation of antibiotic-resistant pathogens.\textsuperscript{21} While many CAFOs utilize antibiotics prophylactically, there is scientific consensus that antibiotics administered to food animals contribute to antibiotic resistance in humans.\textsuperscript{22, 23} Studies have demonstrated that antibiotic-resistant pathogens are found in animal operations that administer antibiotics for purposes other than treating or controlling veterinarian-diagnosed disease and are also found in the environment in and around production facilities.\textsuperscript{24} Pathogens can spread from animal production operations to surrounding communities, exposing workers, their family members, and community members to these resistant pathogens.\textsuperscript{25} In addition, numerous studies have shown that industrial food animal
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production workers and their family members, as well as those who are in residential proximity to CAFOs, face increased risk of antibiotic-resistant infections. Resistant infections in humans are more difficult and expensive to treat and more often fatal than infections with non-resistant strains.

H.B. 1312 is an important step towards reducing the negative public health implication of CAFOs. We applaud the committee for considering this bill.

Sincerely,

Sarah Goldman
Senior Research Program Coordinator
Johns Hopkins Center for a Livable Future
Johns Hopkins University

Robert Martin
Program Director
Johns Hopkins Center for a Livable Future
Johns Hopkins University